

Question Number	Answer	Additional guidance	Mark
<b>8(a)</b>	<p>An explanation that makes reference to three of the following points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• fewer young tree shoots eaten (after wolves were reintroduced) (1)</li> <li>• (because) elk would not graze undisturbed when wolves were around (1)</li> <li>• more (young tree shoots) were eaten in forest habitat (than riverside habitat) / fewer (young tree shoots) were eaten in riverside habitat (than forest habitat) (1)</li> <li>• (because) the mature trees provided some {camouflage / protection} (from the wolves) (1)</li> </ul>	<p>ACCEPT the percentage (of young tree shoots eaten) decreased</p> <p>ACCEPT converse ACCEPT because some elk have been eaten by wolves / fewer elk because wolves are predators (of elk)</p> <p>ACCEPT {larger/more rapid} decrease (in number of shoots eaten) in riverside habitat</p> <p>ACCEPT (because) elk can hide (from the wolves) in the forest / elk have no {hiding places / protection} from being seen (by the wolves) by the river ACCEPT predation of elk is higher in riverside (habitat)</p>	<b>(3)</b>

Question Number	Answer	Additional guidance	Mark
<b>8(b)</b>	<p>A description that makes reference to the following points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• as the number of elk (per km<sup>2</sup>) increases the average beaver lifespan decreases (1)</li> <li>• {larger/rapid} decrease {initially / as population increases from 30 elk (per km<sup>2</sup>)} (1)</li> <li>• very little change in average lifespan of beavers when the number of elk increase above {70/80/90} elk per km<sup>2</sup> (1)</li> </ul>	<p>ACCEPT negative correlation</p> <p>ACCEPT {exponential / non-linear} decrease</p> <p>ACCEPT the beaver lifespan plateaus when the number of elk increase above {70/80/90} elk per km<sup>2</sup></p>	<b>(3)</b>